

ON APPROXIMATION OF P -ADIC NUMBERS BY P -ADIC ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS

V.V. BERESNEVICH, V.I. BERNIK, AND E.I. KOVALEVSKAYA

1. Introduction

Throughout $p \geq 2$ is a fixed prime number, \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of p -adic numbers, $|\omega|_p$ is the p -adic valuation of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mu(S)$ is the Haar measure of a measurable set $S \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ is the set of algebraic numbers of degree n lying in \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathbb{A}_p is the set of all algebraic numbers, \mathbb{Q}_p^* is the extension of \mathbb{Q}_p containing \mathbb{A}_p . There is a natural extension of p -adic valuation from \mathbb{Q}_p to \mathbb{Q}_p^* [Cas86, Lut55]. This valuation will also be denoted by $|\cdot|_p$. The disc in \mathbb{Q}_p of radius r centered at α is the set of solutions of the inequality $|x - \alpha|_p < r$. Throughout, $\mathbb{R}_{>a} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > a\}$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is monotonic.

Given a polynomial $P(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $a_n \neq 0$, $\deg P = n$ is the degree of P , $H(P) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |a_i|$ is the usual height of P . Also $H(\alpha)$ will stand for the usual height of $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_p$, *i.e.* the height of the minimal polynomial for α . The notation $X \ll Y$ will mean $X = O(Y)$ and the one of $X \asymp Y$ will stand for $X \ll Y \ll X$.

In 1989 V. Bernik [Ber89] proved A. Baker's conjecture by showing that for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the inequality $|P(x)| < H(P)^{-n+1} \Psi(H(P))$ has only finitely many solutions in $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg P \leq n$ whenever and the sum

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \Psi(h) \quad (1)$$

converges. In 1999 V. Beresnevich [Ber99] showed that in the case of divergence of (1) this inequality has infinitely many solutions.

We refer the reader to [BBKM02, BD99, Ber02, BKM01, Spr79] for further development of the metric theory of Diophantine approximation. In this paper we establish a complete analogue of the aforementioned results for the p -adic case.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be monotonically decreasing and $M_n(\Psi)$ be the set of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that the inequality*

$$|P(\omega)|_p < H(P)^{-n} \Psi(H(P)) \quad (2)$$

has infinitely many solutions in polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P \leq n$. Then $\mu(M_n(\Psi)) = 0$ whenever the sum (1) converges and $M_n(\Psi)$ has full Haar measure whenever the sum (1) diverges.

Date: February 15, 2008.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11J83; Secondary 11K60.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine approximation, Khintchine type theorems, Metric theory of Diophantine approximation.

The following is a p -adic analogue of Theorem 2 in [Ber99].

Theorem 2. *Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be monotonically decreasing and $\mathbb{A}_{p,n}(\Psi)$ be the set of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that the inequality*

$$|\omega - \alpha|_p < H(\alpha)^{-n} \Psi(H(\alpha)) \quad (3)$$

has infinitely many solutions in $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$. Then $\mu(\mathbb{A}_{p,n}(\Psi)) = 0$ whenever the sum (1) converges and $\mathbb{A}_{p,n}(\Psi)$ has full Haar measure whenever the sum (1) diverges.

2. Reduction of Theorem 1

We are now going to show that the convergence part of Theorem 1 follows from the following two theorems. Also we show that the divergence part of Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2.

Proposition 1. *Let $\delta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\xi < 1/2$, $Q \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ and K_0 be a finite disc in \mathbb{Q}_p . Given a disc $K \subset K_0$, let $E_1(\delta, Q, K, \xi)$ be the set of $\omega \in K$ such that there is a non-zero polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P \leq n$, $H(P) \leq Q$ satisfying the system of inequalities*

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < \delta Q^{-n-1}, \\ |P'(\alpha_{\omega,P})|_p \geq H(P)^{-\xi}, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_{\omega,P} \in \mathbb{A}_p$ is the root of P nearest to ω (if there are more than one root nearest to ω then we choose any of them). Then there is a positive constant c_1 such that for any finite disc $K \subset K_0$ there is a sufficiently large number Q_0 such that $\mu(E_1(\delta, Q, K, \xi)) \leq c_1 \delta \mu(K)$ for all $Q \geq Q_0$ and all $\delta > 0$.

Proposition 2. *Let $\xi, C \in \mathbb{R}_+$, K_0 be a finite disc in \mathbb{Q}_p and let $E_2(\xi, C, K_0)$ be the set of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that there are infinitely many polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P \leq n$ satisfying the system of inequalities*

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < CH(P)^{-n-1}, \\ |P'(\alpha_{\omega,P})|_p < H(P)^{-\xi}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Then $\mu(E_2(\xi, C, K_0)) = 0$.

Proof of the convergence part of Theorem 1 modulo Propositions 1 and 2. Let the sum (1) converges. Then it is readily verified that

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} 2^t \Psi(2^t) < \infty \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Psi(h) = o(h^{-1}) \quad (7)$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$. For the proofs of (6) see Lemma 5 in [Ber99]. The arguments for (7) can be found in the proof of Lemma 4 in [Ber99].

Fix any positive $\xi < 1/2$. By (7), $H(P)^{-n} \Psi(H(P)) < H(P)^{-n-1}$ for all but finitely many P . Then, by Proposition 2, to complete the proof of the convergence part of Theorem 1 it remains to show that for any finite disc K in \mathbb{Q}_p the set $E_1(\xi, \Psi)$ consisting

of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that there are infinitely many polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P \leq n$ satisfying the system of inequalities

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < H(P)^{-n}\Psi(H(P)), \\ |P'(\alpha_{\omega,P})|_p \geq H(P)^{-\xi} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

has zero measure.

The system (8) implies

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < (2^t)^{-n-1}2^t\Psi(2^t), \\ |P'(\alpha_{\omega,P})|_p \geq H(P)^{-\xi}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $t = t(P)$ with $2^t \leq H(P) < 2^{t+1}$, which means that $\omega \in E_1(2^{n+1}2^t\Psi(2^t), 2^{t+1}, K, \xi)$. The system (9) holds for infinitely many t whenever (8) holds for infinitely many P . Therefore,

$$E_1(\xi, \Psi) \subset \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_1(2^{n+1}2^t\Psi(2^t), 2^{t+1}, K, \xi).$$

By Proposition 1, $\mu(E_1(2^{n+1}2^t\Psi(2^t), 2^{t+1}, K, \xi)) \ll 2^t\Psi(2^t)$. Taking into account (6), the Borel-Cantelli lemma completes the proof. \square

Next, we are going to show that the divergence part of Theorem 1 is a consequence of Theorem 2.

Proof of the divergence part of Theorem 1 modulo Theorem 2. Fix any finite disc K in \mathbb{Q}_p . Then there is a positive constant $C > 0$ such that $|\omega|_p \leq C$ for all $\omega \in K$. Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a given monotonic function such that the sum (1) diverges. Then the function $\tilde{\Psi}(h) = |n!|_p C^{1-n}\Psi(h)$ is also monotonic and the sum $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(h)$ diverges. By Theorem 2, for almost every $\omega \in K$ there are infinitely many $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ satisfying

$$|\omega - \alpha|_p < H(\alpha)^{-n}\tilde{\Psi}(H(\alpha)). \quad (10)$$

As Ψ decreases, the right hand side of (10) is bounded by a constant. Then we can assume that $|\omega - \alpha|_p \leq C$ for the solutions of (10). Then $|\alpha|_p = |\alpha - \omega + \omega|_p \leq \max\{|\alpha - \omega|_p, |\omega|_p\} \leq C$.

Let P_α denote the minimal polynomial for α . Since $P_\alpha^{(i)}$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients of degree $n - i$, we have $|P_\alpha^{(i)}(\alpha)|_p \leq \max_{0 \leq j \leq n-i} |\alpha|_p^j \leq C^{n-i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |P_\alpha(\omega)|_p &= |\omega - \alpha|_p \left| \sum_{i=1}^n i!^{-1} P_\alpha^{(i)}(\alpha) (\omega - \alpha)^{i-1} \right|_p \leq \\ &\leq |\omega - \alpha|_p \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |i!^{-1} P_\alpha^{(i)}(\alpha) (\omega - \alpha)^{i-1}|_p \leq \\ &\leq |\omega - \alpha|_p \cdot |n!|_p^{-1} C^{n-i} C^{i-1} = |n!|_p^{-1} C^{n-1} |\omega - \alpha|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (10) implies

$$|P_\alpha(\omega)|_p < H(\alpha)^{-n}\tilde{\Psi}(H(\alpha))|n!|_p^{-1}C^{n-1} = H(\alpha)^{-n}\Psi(H(\alpha)) = H(P_\alpha)^{-n}\Psi(H(P_\alpha)). \quad (11)$$

Inequality (10) has infinitely many solutions for almost all $\omega \in K$ and so has (11). As ω is almost every point of K , the proof is completed. \square

3. Reduction of Theorem 2

Proof of the convergence part of Theorem 2. Given an $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$, let $\chi(\alpha)$ be the set of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying (3). The measure of $\chi(\alpha)$ is $\ll H(\alpha)^{-n} \Psi(H(\alpha))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}} \mu(\chi(\alpha)) &= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}, H(\alpha)=h} \mu(\chi(\alpha)) \ll \\ &\ll \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}, H(\alpha)=h} h^{-n} \Psi(h) \ll \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \Psi(h) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that the quantity of algebraic numbers of height h is $\ll h^n$. The Borel-Cantelli lemma completes the proof. \square

The proof of the divergence part of Theorem 2 will rely on the regular systems method of [Ber99]. In this paper we give a generalization of the method for the p -adic case.

Definition 1. Let a disc K_0 in \mathbb{Q}_p , a countable set of p -adic numbers Γ and a function $N : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be given. The pair (Γ, N) is called a *regular system of points in K_0* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any disc $K \subset K_0$ for any sufficiently large number T there exists a collection

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \in \Gamma \cap K$$

satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} N(\gamma_i) &\leq T \quad (1 \leq i \leq t), \\ |\gamma_i - \gamma_j|_p &\geq T^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq t), \\ t &\geq CT\mu(K). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3. Let (Γ, N) be a regular system of points in $K_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be monotonically decreasing function such that $\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(h) = \infty$. Then $\Gamma_{\tilde{\Psi}}$ has full Haar measure in K_0 , where $\Gamma_{\tilde{\Psi}}$ consists of $\omega \in K_0$ such that the inequality

$$|x - \gamma|_p < \tilde{\Psi}(N(\gamma)) \tag{12}$$

has infinitely many solutions $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

This theorem is proved in [BK03]. The proof is also straightforward the ideas of the proof of Theorem 2 in [Ber99].

Proposition 4. The pair (Γ, N) of $\Gamma = \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ and $N(\alpha) = H(\alpha)^{n+1}$ is a regular system of points in any finite disc $K_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proof of the divergence part of Theorem 2 modulo Propositions 3 and 4. Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a monotonic function and the sum (1) diverges. Fix any finite disc $K_0 \subset \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let (Γ, N) be a regular system defined in Proposition 3 and let Ψ be a monotonic function such that the sum (1) diverges. Define a function $\tilde{\Psi}$ by setting $\tilde{\Psi}(x) = x^{-n/(n+1)} \Psi(x^{1/(n+1)})$. Using the monotonicity of Ψ , we obtain

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Psi}(h) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(t-1)^{n+1} < h \leq t^{n+1}} \tilde{\Psi}(h) \geq \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(t-1)^{n+1} < h \leq t^{n+1}} t^{-n} \Psi(t) =$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (t^{n+1} - (t-1)^{n+1}) t^{-n} \Psi(t) \asymp \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \Psi(h) = \infty.$$

It is obvious that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is monotonic. Then, by Proposition 2, for almost all $\omega \in K_0$ the inequality

$$|x - \alpha|_p < \tilde{\Psi}(N(\alpha)) = H(\alpha)^{-n} \Psi(H(\alpha)) \quad (13)$$

has infinitely many solutions in $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$. The proof is completed. \square

4. Proof of Proposition 1

Fix any finite $K \subset K_0$ in \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\chi(P)$ be the set of $\omega \in K$ satisfying (4) and let $\mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ be the set of non-zero polynomials P with integer coefficients, $\deg P \leq n$, $H(P) \leq Q$ and with $\chi(P) \neq \emptyset$. We will use the following

Lemma 1. *Let $\alpha_{\omega, P}$ be the nearest root of a polynomial P to $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then*

$$|\omega - \alpha_{\omega, P}|_p \leq |P(\omega)|_p |P'(\alpha_{\omega, P})|_p^{-1}.$$

For the proof see [Spr69, p. 78].

Given a polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$, let \mathcal{Z}_P be the set of roots of P . It is clear that $\#\mathcal{Z}_P \leq n$. Given an $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_P$, let $\chi(P, \alpha)$ be the subset of $\chi(P)$ consisting of ω with $|\alpha - \omega|_p = \min \{|\alpha' - \omega|_p : \alpha' \in \mathcal{Z}_P\}$.

By Lemma 1, for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ and any $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_P$ one has

$$\mu(\chi(P, \alpha)) \ll \delta Q^{-n-1} |P'(\alpha)|_p^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

Given a $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ and an $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_P$, define the disc

$$\overline{\chi}(P, \alpha) = \left\{ \omega \in K : |\omega - \alpha|_p \leq \left(4Q |P'(\alpha)|_p \right)^{-1} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

It is readily verified that if $\overline{\chi}(P, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ then $\mu(\overline{\chi}(P, \alpha)) \gg \left(4Q |P'(\alpha)|_p \right)^{-1}$. Using (14) we get

$$\mu(\chi(P, \alpha)) \ll \delta Q^{-n-1} \mu(\overline{\chi}(P, \alpha)) \quad (16)$$

with the implicit constant depending on p only.

Fix any $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ and an $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}_P$ such that $\chi(P, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in \overline{\chi}(P, \alpha)$. Then

$$P(\omega) = P'(\alpha)(\omega - \alpha) + (\omega - \alpha)^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n P^{(i)}(\alpha)(\omega - \alpha)^{i-2} \right). \quad (17)$$

By the inequalities $|P'(\alpha)|_p \geq H(P)^{-\xi}$ and $H(P) \leq Q$, we have $|P'(\alpha)|_p^{-1} \leq Q^\xi$. Then by (15), $|\omega - \alpha|_p \leq Q^{-1+\xi}$. Next, as $\omega \in K$ and K is finite, it is readily verified that $|P^{(i)}(\alpha)|_p \ll 1$, where the constant in this inequality depends on K . Then

$$\left| (\omega - \alpha)^2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^n P^{(i)}(\alpha)(\omega - \alpha)^{i-2} \right) \right|_p \ll Q^{-2+2\xi}. \quad (18)$$

By (15), we have $|P'(\alpha)(\omega - \alpha)|_p \leq (4Q)^{-1}$. Using this inequality, (18) and $\xi < 1/2$, we conclude that

$$|P(\omega)|_p \leq (4Q)^{-1}, \quad \omega \in \chi(P, \alpha) \quad (19)$$

if Q is sufficiently large.

Assume that $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ satisfy $P_1 - P_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ and assume that there is an $\omega \in \overline{\chi}(P_1) \cap \overline{\chi}(P_2)$. Then $\omega \in \overline{\chi}(P_1, \alpha) \cap \overline{\chi}(P_2, \beta)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{P_1}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{P_2}$. Then, (19), $|P_1(\omega) - P_2(\omega)|_p < (4Q)^{-1}$. On the other $P_1(\omega) - P_2(\omega)$ is an integer not greater than $2Q$ in absolute value. Therefore, $|P_1(\omega) - P_2(\omega)|_p \geq (2Q)^{-1}$ that leads to a contradiction. Hence there is no such an ω and $\overline{\chi}(P_1) \cap \overline{\chi}(P_2) = \emptyset$. Therefore

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K, a_n, \dots, a_1)} \mu(\overline{\chi}(P)) \leq \mu(K), \quad (20)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n(Q, K, a_n, \dots, a_1)$ is the subset of $\mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)$ consisting of P with fixed coefficients a_n, \dots, a_1 .

By (16) and (20), $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K, a_n, \dots, a_1)} \mu(\chi(P)) \ll \delta Q^{-n} \mu(K)$. Summing this over all $(a_n, \dots, a_1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with coordinates at most Q in absolute value gives

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)} \mu(\chi(P)) \ll \delta \mu(K). \quad (21)$$

It is obvious that

$$E_1(\delta, Q, K, \xi) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(Q, K)} \chi(P). \quad (22)$$

As the Haar measure is subadditive (21) and (22) imply the statement of Proposition 1.

5. Reduction to irreducible primitive leading polynomials in Proposition 2

The following lemma shows us that there is no loss of generality in neglecting reducible polynomials while proving Proposition 2.

Lemma 2 (Lemma 7 in [BDY99]). *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $E(\delta)$ be the set of $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that the inequality*

$$|P(\omega)|_p < H(P)^{-n-\delta}$$

has infinitely many solutions in reducible polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P \leq n$. Then $\mu(E(\delta)) = 0$.

Also, by Sprindžuk's theorem [Spr69] there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\deg P = n$. From now on, \mathcal{P} will denote the set of irreducible polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\deg P = n$.

Next, a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is called *primitive* if the gcd (greatest common divisor) of its coefficients is 1. To perform the reduction to primitive polynomials we fix an ω such that the system (5) has infinitely many solutions in polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and show that either ω belongs to a set of measure zero or (5) holds for infinitely many primitive $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Define $a_P = \gcd(a_n, \dots, a_1, a_0) \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a $P \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a uniquely defined primitive polynomial P_1 (i.e. $a_{P_1} = 1$) with $P = a_P P_1$. Then $H(P) = a_P H(P_1)$. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be a solution of (5). By (5), P_1 satisfies the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} |a_P|_p |P_1(\omega)|_p = |P(\omega)|_p \ll H(P)^{-n-1} = (a_P H(P_1))^{-n-1}, \\ |a_P|_p |P'_1(\alpha_{\omega, P})|_p = |P'(\alpha_{\omega, P})|_p < H(P)^{-\xi} = (a_P H(P_1))^{-\xi}. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

As $|a_P|_p^{-1} \leq a_P$, (23) implies

$$|P_1(\omega)|_p \ll H(P_1)^{-n-1} a_P^{-n}, \quad |P'_1(\alpha_{\omega, P})|_p < H(P_1)^{-\xi} a_P^{1-\xi}. \quad (24)$$

If (24) takes place only for a finite number of different polynomials $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$, then there exists one of them such that (5) has infinitely many solutions in polynomials P with the same P_1 . It follows that ω is a root of P_1 and thus belongs to a set of measure zero. Further we assume that there are infinitely many P_1 satisfying (24).

If $\xi \geq 1$ then the reduction to primitive polynomials is obvious as $a_P \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\xi < 1$. Then, if (5) holds for infinitely many polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $a_P \geq H(P_1)^{\xi'}$, where $\xi' = \xi/(2-2\xi)$, then the first inequality in (24) implies that $|P_1(\omega)|_p \ll H(P_1)^{-n-1} a_P^{-n} \leq H(P_1)^{-n-1-n\xi'}$ holds for infinitely many polynomials $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$. By Sprindžuk's theorem [Spr69], the set of those ω has zero measure.

If (5) holds for infinitely many polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $a_P < H(P_1)^{\xi'}$ then (24) implies that the system of inequalities

$$|P_1(\omega)|_p \ll H(P_1)^{-n-1}, \quad |P'(\alpha_{\omega, P})|_p < H(P_1)^{-\xi+(1-\xi)\xi'} < H(P_1)^{-\xi/2}$$

holds for infinitely many polynomials P_1 . Thus, we get the required statement with a smaller ξ .

A polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with the leading coefficient a_n will be called *leading* if

$$a_n = H(P) \quad \text{and} \quad |a_n|_p > p^{-n}. \quad (25)$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n(H)$ be the set of irreducible primitive leading polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree n with the height $H(P) = H$. Also define

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \bigcup_{H=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(H). \quad (26)$$

Reduction to leading polynomials is completed with the help of

Lemma 3. *Let Ω be the set of points $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for which (5) has infinitely many solutions in irreducible primitive polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\deg P = n$. Let Ω_0 be the set of points $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for which (5) has infinitely many solutions in polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$, where \mathcal{P}_n is defined in (26). If Ω has positive measure then so has Ω_0 with probably a different constant C in (5).*

Proof of this lemma is very much the same as the one of Lemma 10 in [Spr69] and we leave it as an exercise.

Every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$ has exactly n roots, which can be ordered in any way: $\alpha_{P,1}, \dots, \alpha_{P,n}$. The set $E_2(\xi, C, K_0)$ can be expressed as a union of subsets $E_{2,k}(\xi, C, K_0)$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$, where $E_{2,k}(\xi, C, K_0)$ is defined to consist of $\omega \in K_0$ such that (5) holds infinitely often with $\alpha_{\omega, P} = \alpha_{P,k}$. To prove Proposition 2 it suffices to show that

$E_{2,k}(\xi, C, K_0)$ has zero measure for every k . The consideration of these sets will not depend on k . Therefore we can assume that $k = 1$ and omit this index in the notation of $E_{2,k}(\xi, C, K_0)$. Also whenever there is no risk of confusion we will write $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ for $\alpha_{P,1}, \dots, \alpha_{P,n}$.

6. Auxiliary statements and classes of polynomials

Lemma 4. *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the roots of $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\alpha_i|_p < p^n$.*

For the proof see [Spr69, p. 85].

For the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of P we define the sets

$$S(\alpha_i) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\omega - \alpha_i|_p = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\omega - \alpha_j|_p\} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$. As α_1 is fixed, we reorder the other roots of P so that $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|_p \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_3|_p \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_n|_p$. We can assume that there exists a root α_m of P for which $|\alpha_1 - \alpha_m|_p \leq 1$ (see [Spr69, p. 99]). Then we have

$$|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|_p \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_3|_p \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_m|_p \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_1 - \alpha_n|_p. \quad (27)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small, $d > 0$ be a large fixed number and let $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/d$, $T = \lceil \varepsilon_1^{-1} \rceil + 1$. We define real numbers ρ_j and integers l_j by the relations

$$|\alpha_1 - \alpha_j|_p = H^{-\rho_j}, \quad (l_j - 1)/T \leq \rho_j < l_j/T \quad (2 \leq j \leq m). \quad (28)$$

It follows from (27) and (28) that $\rho_2 \geq \rho_3 \geq \dots \geq \rho_m \geq 0$ and $l_2 \geq l_3 \geq \dots \geq l_m \geq 1$. We assume that $\rho_j = 0$ and $l_j = 0$ if $m < j \leq n$.

Now for every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H)$ we define a vector $\bar{l} = (l_2, \dots, l_n)$ having non-negative components. In [Spr69, p. 99–100] it is shown that the number of such vectors is finite and depends on n, p and T only. All polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H)$ corresponding to the same vector \bar{l} are grouped together into a class $\mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l})$. We define

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\bar{l}) = \bigcup_{H=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}). \quad (29)$$

Let $K_0 = \{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\omega|_p < p^n\}$ be the disc of radius p^n centered at 0. Define

$$r_j = r_j(P) = (l_{j+1} + \dots + l_n)/T \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1).$$

Lemma 5. *Let $\omega \in S(\alpha_1)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{-r_1} &\ll |P'(\alpha_1)|_p \ll H^{-r_1 + (m-1)\varepsilon_1}, \\ |P^{(j)}(\alpha_1)|_p &\ll H^{-r_j + (m-j)\varepsilon_1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq m, \\ |P^{(j)}(\alpha_1)|_p &\ll 1 \quad \text{for } m < j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (25) we have $p^{-n} < |H|_p \leq 1$. Then, on differentiating the identity $P(\omega) = H(\omega - \alpha_1) \cdots (\omega - \alpha_n)$ j times ($1 \leq j \leq n$) and using (27), (28) we get the statement of the lemma. \square

Lemma 6. *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $n \geq 2$ be a natural number and $H = H(\delta, n)$ be a sufficiently large real number. Further let P, Q in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ be two relatively prime polynomials of degree at most n with $\max(H(P), H(Q)) \leq H$. Let $K(\alpha, p^{-t})$ be a disc of radius p^{-t} centered at α where t is defined by the inequalities $p^{-t} \leq H^{-\sigma} < p^{-t+1}$. If there exists a number $\tau > 0$ such that for all $\omega \in K(\alpha, p^{-t})$ one has*

$$\max(|P(\omega)|_p, |Q(\omega)|_p) < H^{-\tau}$$

then $\tau + 2 \max(\tau - \sigma, 0) < 2n + \delta$.

For the proof see Lemma 5 in [BDY99].

7. Proof of Proposition 2

As in the previous section $K_0 = \{\omega \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\omega|_p < p^n\}$.

Let $A(\bar{l}, \xi)$ be the set of points $\omega \in K_0$ for which

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < CH(P)^{-n-1}, \\ |P'(\alpha_1)|_p < H(P)^{-\xi} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

has infinitely many solutions in polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(\bar{l})$, where $\mathcal{P}_n(\bar{l})$ is defined in (29). It follows from the previous discussion that to prove Proposition 2 it suffices to show that $A(\bar{l}, \xi)$ has zero measure for all possible vectors \bar{l} .

The following investigation essentially depends on the value of $r_1 + l_2/T$. According to Lemma 5 we have $|P'(\alpha_1)|_p \gg H^{-r_1}$. It follows from this and the second inequality of (30) that $H^{-r_1} \leq cH^{-\xi}$, i.e.

$$r_1 \geq \xi - \ln c / \ln H > \xi/2 \quad \text{for } H \geq H_0. \quad (31)$$

Further we assume that r_1 satisfies (31). Further we set ε to be $\xi/2$.

Lemma 7. *If $r_1 + l_2/T > n$ then the set of points $\omega \in K_0$ for which the inequality*

$$|P(\omega)|_p < H(P)^{-n-\varepsilon}$$

holds for infinitely many polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(\bar{l})$ has zero measure.

For the proof see Proposition 3 in [Spr69, p. 111].

The proof of Proposition 2 is divided into 3 cases, each corresponding to one of the propositions of this section (see below).

Let $\chi(P) = \{\omega \in K_0 \cap S(\alpha_{P,1}) : |P(\omega)|_p < H^{-n-1}\}$. Thus, we investigate the set of ω that belong to infinitely many $\chi(P)$.

Proposition 5. *If $n - 1 + 2n\varepsilon_1 < r_1 + l_2/T$ then $\mu(A(\bar{l}, \xi)) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $r_1 + l_2/T > n$. Using Lemma 7 with $\varepsilon < 1$ we get $\mu(A(\bar{l}, \xi)) = 0$.

Let $n - 1 + 2n\varepsilon_1 < r_1 + l_2/T \leq n$ and t be a sufficiently large fixed natural number. We define the set

$$\mathcal{M}_t(\bar{l}) = \bigcup_{2^t \leq H < 2^{t+1}} \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}).$$

We divide the set K_0 into the discs of radius $2^{-t\sigma}$, where $\sigma = n + 1 - r_1 - \varepsilon_1$.

First, we consider the polynomials $P \in \mathcal{M}_t(\bar{l})$ such that there is one of the introduced discs, say K , such that $\chi(P) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(Q) \cap K = \emptyset$ for $Q \in \mathcal{M}_t(\bar{l}) \setminus \{P\}$. The number of the discs and respectively the number of the polynomials is at most $p^n 2^{t\sigma}$. From Lemmas 1 and 5 we get

$$\mu(\chi(P)) \ll |P(\omega)|_p |P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1} \ll 2^{-(n+1-r_1)}$$

and thus summing the measures of $\chi(P)$ for the polynomials P of this class leads to

$$\sum_P \mu(\chi(P)) \ll 2^{t(n+1-r_1-\varepsilon_1-n-1+r_1)} = 2^{-t\varepsilon_1}.$$

The latest gives the convergent series and, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, completes the proof in this case.

Now we consider the other type of polynomials. Let P and Q be different polynomials of $\mathcal{M}_t(\bar{l})$ such that $\chi(P)$ and $\chi(Q)$ intersect the same disc D introduced above. Then there exist the points ω_1 and ω_2 belonging to D such that

$$\max(|P(\omega_1)|_p, |Q(\omega_2)|_p) \ll 2^{-t(n+1)}. \quad (32)$$

Let $\alpha_{P,1}$ and $\alpha_{Q,1}$ be the nearest roots of P and Q to ω_1 and ω_2 respectively. By (32), Lemmas 1 and 5 we get

$$\max(|\omega_1 - \alpha_{P,1}|_p, |\omega_2 - \alpha_{Q,1}|_p) \ll 2^{-(n+1-r_1)}.$$

Hence, according to the definition of the σ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,1}|_p &\leq \max(|\alpha_{P,1} - \omega_1|_p, |\omega_1 - \omega_2|_p, |\alpha_{Q,1} - \omega_2|_p) \ll \\ &\ll \max(2^{-t(n+1-r_1)}, 2^{-t\sigma}) = 2^{-t\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate $|\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p$ ($2 \leq i \leq m$). Since $r_1 + l_2/T \leq n$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p &\leq \max(|\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,1}|_p, |\alpha_{Q,1} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p) \ll \max(2^{-t\sigma}, 2^{-t\rho_i}) \leq \\ &\leq \max(2^{-t\sigma}, 2^{-t(l_i-1)/T}) \leq 2^{-t(l_i/T-\varepsilon_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\prod_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p \ll 2^{-t(\sigma+(l_2+\dots+l_m)/T-(m-1)\varepsilon_1)} = 2^{-t(\sigma+r_1-(m-1)\varepsilon_1)}.$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{P,2} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p &\leq \prod_{i=1}^m \max(|\alpha_{P,2} - \alpha_{P,1}|_p, |\alpha_{P,1} - \alpha_{Q,1}|_p, |\alpha_{Q,1} - \alpha_{Q,i}|_p) \leq \\ &\leq \max(2^{-t\rho_2}, 2^{-t\sigma}) \prod_{i=2}^m \max(2^{-t\rho_2}, 2^{-t\sigma}, 2^{-t\rho_i}) \ll \\ &\ll 2^{-t(l_2/T-\varepsilon_1)} \prod_{i=2}^m 2^{-t(l_i/T-\varepsilon_1)} = 2^{-t(l_2/T-\varepsilon_1+(l_2+\dots+l_m)/T-(m-1)\varepsilon_1)} = 2^{-t(l_2/T+r_1-m\varepsilon_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $R(P, Q)$ be the resultant of P and Q , i.e.

$$|R(P, Q)|_p = |H|_p^{2n} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |\alpha_{P,i} - \alpha_{Q,j}|_p.$$

By the previous estimates for $i = 1, 2$ and the trivial estimates $|\alpha_{P,i} - \alpha_{Q,j}|_p \ll p^n$ for $3 \leq i \leq n$ we get

$$|R(P, Q)|_p \ll 2^{-t(\sigma+r_1-(m-1)\varepsilon_1+l_2/T+r_1-m\varepsilon_1)} \leq 2^{-t(\sigma+2r_1+l_2/T-(2n-1)\varepsilon_1)} < 2^{-t(2n+\delta')}$$

where $\delta' > 0$. On the other hand we have $|R(P, Q)|_p \gg 2^{-2nt}$ as P and Q have not common roots. The last inequalities lead to a contradiction. \square

Proposition 6. *If*

$$2 - \varepsilon/2 < r_1 + l_2/T \leq n - 1 + 2n\varepsilon_1 \quad (33)$$

then $\mu(A(\bar{l}, \xi)) = 0$.

Proof. Let

$$\theta = n + 1 - r_1 - l_2/T. \quad (34)$$

Let $[\theta]$ and $\{\theta\}$ be the integral and the fractional parts of θ respectively.

At first we consider the case $\{\theta\} \geq \varepsilon$. We define

$$\beta = [\theta] - 1 + 0, 2\{\theta\} - 0, 1\varepsilon, \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_1 = l_2/T + 0, 8\{\theta\} + (m+1)\varepsilon_1, \quad (36)$$

$$d = [\theta] - 1. \quad (37)$$

Fix any sufficiently large integer H and divide the set K_0 into the discs of radius $H^{-\sigma_1}$. The number of these discs is estimated by $\ll H^{\sigma_1}$. We shall say that the disc D contains the polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l})$ and write $P \prec D$ if there exists a point $\omega_0 \in D$ such that $|P(\omega_0)|_p < H^{-n-1}$.

Let $B_1(H)$ be the collection of discs D such that $\#\{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}) : P \prec D\} \leq H^\beta$. By Lemmas 1 and 5, (35) and (36) we have

$$\sum_{P \in B_1(H)} \mu(\chi(P)) \ll H^\beta H^{\sigma_1} H^{-n-1+r_1} = H^{\theta-1+r_1+l_2/T-0,1\varepsilon+(m+1)\varepsilon_1-n-1}.$$

From (34) we get

$$\sum_P \mu(\chi(P)) \ll \sum_H H^{-1-\varepsilon/20} < \infty.$$

By Borel-Cantelli lemma the set of those ω , which belong to $\chi(P)$ for infinitely many $P \in \bigcup_H B_1(H)$, has zero measure.

Let $B_2(H)$ be the collection of the discs that do not belong to $B_1(H)$ and thus contain more than H^β polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l})$. Let $D \in B_2(H)$. We divide the set $\{P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}) : P \prec D\}$ into classes as follows. Two polynomials

$$P_1(x) = Hx^n + a_{n-1}^{(1)}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1^{(1)}x + a_0^{(1)},$$

$$P_2(x) = Hx^n + a_{n-1}^{(2)}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1^{(2)}x + a_0^{(2)}$$

are in one class if

$$a_{n-1}^{(1)} = a_{n-1}^{(2)}, \quad \dots, \quad a_{n-d}^{(1)} = a_{n-d}^{(2)},$$

where d is defined in (37). It is clear that the number of different classes is less than $(2H+1)^d$ and the number of polynomials under consideration is greater than H^β . By the pigeon-hole principle, there exists a class M which contains at least $cH^{\beta-d}$ polynomials where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of H . The classes containing less than $cH^{\beta-d}$ polynomials are considered in a similar way as above, with the Borel-Cantelli arguments.

Further, we denote polynomials from M by $P_1(x), \dots, P_{s+1}(x)$ and consider s new polynomials

$$R_1(x) = P_2(x) - P_1(x), \dots, R_s(x) = P_{s+1}(x) - P_1(x).$$

By (37), we get

$$\deg R_i \leq n - d - 1 = n - [\theta] \quad (1 \leq i \leq s). \quad (38)$$

Using (34), the left-hand side of (33) and the condition $\{\theta\} \geq \varepsilon$ we obtain

$$n - d - 1 = n - [\theta] = n - \theta + \{\theta\} = -1 + r_1 + l_2/T + \{\theta\} > 1 + \varepsilon/2 > 1.$$

Since $n - [\theta]$ is integer then

$$n - [\theta] \geq 2. \quad (39)$$

Now we estimate the values $|R_i(\omega)|_p$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) when $\omega \in D$. For every polynomial P_i there exists a point $\omega_{0i} \in D$ such that $|P_i(\omega_{0i})|_p < H^{-n-1}$. Let α_{1i} be the root nearest to ω_{0i} . By Lemmas 1 and 5, we get $|\omega_{0i} - \alpha_{1i}|_p \ll H^{-n-1+r_1}$ and

$$|\omega - \alpha_{1i}|_p \leq \max(|\omega - \omega_{0i}|_p, |\omega_{0i} - \alpha_{1i}|_p) \ll \max(H^{-\sigma_1}, H^{-n-1+r_1})$$

for any $\omega \in D$. It follows from (36) and the right-hand side of (33) that

$$\sigma_1 \leq n - 1 - r_1 + 2n\varepsilon_1 + 0, 8\{\theta\} + (m+1)\varepsilon_1 < n + 1 - r_1.$$

Therefore $|\omega - \alpha_{1i}|_p \ll H^{-\sigma_1}$. By Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |P_i^{(j)}(\alpha_{1i})(\omega - \alpha_{1i})^j|_p &\ll H^{-r_j + (m-j)\varepsilon_1 - j\sigma_1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ |P_i^{(j)}(\alpha_{1i})(\omega - \alpha_{1i})^j|_p &\ll H^{-j\sigma_1} \quad \text{for } m < j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

From (36), (34) and the definition of the r_j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) we get

$$|P_i'(\alpha_{1i})(\omega - \alpha_{1i})|_p \ll H^{-(n+1-\theta)-0, 8\{\theta\}-2\varepsilon_1},$$

$$|P_i^{(j)}(\alpha_{1i})(\omega - \alpha_{1i})^j|_p \ll H^{-(n+1-\theta)-0, 8\{\theta\}-(m+1)\varepsilon_1} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

Using Taylor's formula for $P_i(\omega)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s+1$) in the disc $|\omega - \alpha_{1i}|_p \ll H^{-\sigma_1}$ and the previous estimates, we obtain

$$|R_i(\omega)|_p \ll H^{-(n+1-\theta)-0, 8\{\theta\}-2\varepsilon_1} = H^{-\tau} \quad (1 \leq i \leq s) \quad (40)$$

for any $\omega \in D$. There are the following three cases:

- 1) Suppose that for each i ($1 \leq i \leq s$), $R_i(x) = b_i R(x)$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the R_i are all different so are the b_i . Let $b = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} |b_i| = |b_1|$, so that $b > s/2$. As $bH(R) \leq 2H$, $s \gg H^{\beta-d} = H^{0, 2\{\theta\}-0, 1\varepsilon}$ and $\{\theta\} \geq \varepsilon$, we get

$$H(R) \ll H^{1-0, 2\{\theta\}+0, 1\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad b \gg H^{0, 2\{\theta\}-0, 1\varepsilon}. \quad (41)$$

Using (40) and $H(R_1) = bH(R)$ we have

$$|R_1(\omega)|_p = |b|_p |R(\omega)|_p \ll H(R_1)^{-\tau} = H(R)^{-\tau} b^{-\tau}$$

and

$$|R(\omega)|_p \ll H(R)^{-\tau} |b|^{-\tau} |b|_p^{-1} \leq H(R)^{-\tau} b^{-\tau+1}.$$

From this and (41) we find

$$|R(\omega)|_p \ll H(R)^{-\lambda}, \quad (42)$$

where

$$\lambda = \tau + (\tau - 1)(0, 2\{\theta\} - 0, 1\varepsilon)(1 - 0, 2\{\theta\} + 0, 1\varepsilon)^{-1}.$$

By the definition of the τ in (40), the condition $\{\theta\} \geq \varepsilon$, (38) and (39) we get $\lambda > n - [\theta] + 1 \geq \deg R + 1$. It follows from (42) that

$$|R(\omega)|_p \ll H(R)^{-\deg R - 1 - \delta'}$$

for all $\omega \in D$, where $\delta' > 0$. By Sprindžuk's theorem [Spr69, p.112], the set of ω for which there are infinitely many polynomials R satisfying the previous inequality has zero measure.

- 2) Suppose that some of polynomials R_i are reducible. By (38) we have (40) with $\tau \geq \deg R_i + \delta$ where $\delta = 1 - 0, 2\{\theta\} + \varepsilon_1 > 0$. Then Lemma 2 shows that the set of ω for which there are infinitely many such polynomials has zero measure.
- 3) Suppose that all polynomials R_i are irreducible and that at least two are relatively prime (otherwise use case 1). Then Lemma 6 can be used on two of polynomials, R_1 and R_2 , say. We have $\deg R_i \leq n - [\theta]$ ($i = 1, 2$). It follows from (40), (34) and (36) that

$$\tau = n + 1 - \theta + 0, 8\{\theta\} + 2\varepsilon_1 = r_1 + l_2/T + 0, 8\{\theta\} + 2\varepsilon_1,$$

$$\tau - \sigma_1 = r_1 - (m - 1)\varepsilon_1 = (l_2 + \dots + l_m)/T - (m - 1)/T \geq T^{-1} > 0,$$

$$\tau + 2(\tau - \sigma_1) = 3r_1 + l_2/T + 0, 8\{\theta\} - 2(m - 2)\varepsilon_1,$$

$$2(n - [\theta]) + \delta = -2 + 2r_1 + 2l_2/T + 2\{\theta\} + \delta.$$

As $r_1 \geq l_2/T$ then $\tau + 2(\tau - \sigma_1) > 2(n - [\theta]) + \delta$ if $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$. The last inequality contradicts Lemma 6.

In the case of $\{\theta\} < \varepsilon$ we set

$$\beta = [\theta] - 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \sigma_1 = l_2/T + \{\theta\} + (m + 1)\varepsilon_1 - (1, 5 + \varepsilon')\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon' = \varepsilon/(9n + 2) \quad d = [\theta] - 1$$

and apply the same arguments as above. \square

Proposition 7. *If*

$$\varepsilon \leq r_1 + l_2/T \leq 2 - \varepsilon/2 \tag{43}$$

then $\mu(A(\bar{l}, \xi)) = 0$.

Proof. All polynomials $P(\omega) = H\omega^n + a_{n-1}\omega^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\omega + a_0 \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l})$ corresponding to the same vector $\bar{a} = (a_{n-1}, \dots, a_2)$ are grouped together into a class $\mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}, \bar{a})$. Let

$$B(P) = \{\omega \in K_0 \cap S(\alpha_1) : |\omega - \alpha_1|_p \leq H^{-n-1}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1}\},$$

$$B_1(P) = \{\omega \in K_0 \cap S(\alpha_1) : |\omega - \alpha_1|_p \leq H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1}\},$$

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/6$. It is clear that $B(P) \subset B_1(P)$,

$$\mu B(P) = c_1(p)H^{-n-1}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1}, \quad \mu B_1(P) = c_2(p)H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1}$$

and

$$\mu B(P) = c_3(p)H^{-n+1-\varepsilon'}\mu B_1(P), \tag{44}$$

where $c_i(p) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the constants dependent on p . Now we estimate $|P(\omega)|_p$ when $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}, \bar{a})$ and $\omega \in B_1(P)$. It follows from the definition of $B_1(P)$ that

$|P'(\alpha_1)(\omega - \alpha_1)|_p < H^{-2+\varepsilon'}$. By the right-hand side of (43) and the definition of the r_j ($2 \leq j \leq m$) we have

$$jr_1 - r_j = (j-1)r_1 + r_1 - r_j = (j-1)r_1 + (l_2 + \dots + l_j)/T \leq (j-1)(2 - \varepsilon/2).$$

From this, Lemma 5 and the definition of $B_1(P)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} |P^{(j)}(\alpha_1)(\omega - \alpha_1)^j|_p &< H^{-r_j+(m-j)\varepsilon_1} H^{-(2-\varepsilon')j+jr_1} \leq H^{-(2-\varepsilon')j+(j-1)(2-\varepsilon/2)+(m-j)/\varepsilon_1} = \\ &= H^{-2-(j-1)\varepsilon/2+(m-j)\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon'j} \leq H^{-2-\delta} \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq j \leq m$, where $\delta > 0$ if $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon/(2n)$. By the right-hand side of (43) and the definition of the r_1 we have $r_1 < (2 - \varepsilon/2)(1 - 1/j)$. From this, Lemma 5 and the definition of $B_1(P)$ we find

$$|P^{(j)}(\alpha_1)(\omega - \alpha_1)^j|_p \ll |\omega - \alpha_1|_p^j < H^{-j(2-\varepsilon'-r_1)} < H^{-2-\varepsilon/3}$$

for $m < j \leq n$. By Taylor's formula and the previous estimates we get

$$|P(\omega)|_p \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'} \quad (45)$$

for any $\omega \in B_1(P)$. Further we use essential and inessential domains introduced by Sprindžuk [Spr69]. The disc $B_1(P)$ is called *inessential* if there exists a polynomial $Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(H, \bar{l}, \bar{a})$ such that $\mu(B_1(P) \cap B_1(Q)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu B_1(P)$ and *essential* otherwise.

Let the disc $B_1(P)$ be inessential and $D = B_1(P) \cap B_1(Q)$. Then

$$\mu D \geq \frac{1}{2}\mu B_1(P) = c_4(p)H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1}$$

where $c_4(p) > 0$ is a constant dependent on p . By (45) the difference $R(\omega) = P(\omega) - Q(\omega) = b_1\omega + b_0$, where $\max(|b_0|, |b_1|) \leq 2H$, satisfies

$$|R(\omega)|_p = |b_1\omega + b_0|_p \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'} \quad (46)$$

for any $\omega \in B_1(P)$. Note that $b_1 \neq 0$ since if $b_1 = 0$, then $|b_0|_p \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'}$. It is contradicted to $|b_0|_p \geq |b_0|^{-1} \gg H^{-1}$. It follows from (46) that

$$|\omega - b_0/b_1|_p \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|b_1|_p^{-1}. \quad (47)$$

Let $D_1 = \{\omega \in K_0 \cap S(\alpha_1) : \text{the inequality (47) holds}\}$. Then $D \subseteq D_1$ and $\mu D_1 = c_5(p)H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|b_1|_p^{-1}$, where $c_5(p) > 0$ is a constant dependent on p . We have

$$c_4(p)H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|P'(\alpha_1)|_p^{-1} \leq \mu D \leq \mu D_1 \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'}|b_1|_p^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$|b_1|_p \ll |P'(\alpha_1)|_p. \quad (48)$$

From (48) and Lemma 5 we get

$$|b_1|_p \ll |P'(\alpha_1)|_p \ll H^{-r_1+(m-1)\varepsilon_1}.$$

Since $r_1 \geq l_2/T$ the left-hand side of (43) implies $r_1 \geq \varepsilon/2$. Now we find $|b_1|_p \ll H^{-\varepsilon/3}$ for $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon/(2n)$. It follows from (46) that $|b_0|_p \ll H^{-\varepsilon/3}$. Suppose that s is defined by the inequalities $p^s \leq H < p^{s+1}$. We have $H^{\varepsilon/3} \asymp p^{\lfloor s\varepsilon/3 \rfloor}$ for sufficiently large H . Hence $b_1 \asymp p^{\lfloor s\varepsilon/3 \rfloor} b_{11}$ and $b_0 \asymp p^{\lfloor s\varepsilon/3 \rfloor} b_{01}$ where b_{11}, b_{01} are integers. We have

$$b_1\omega + b_0 \asymp p^{\lfloor s\varepsilon/3 \rfloor}(b_{11}\omega + b_{01}) \quad \text{with} \quad \max(|b_{11}|, |b_{01}|) \ll H^{1-\varepsilon/3}. \quad (49)$$

Let $R_1(\omega) = b_{11}\omega + b_{01}$. Then $H(R_1) \ll H^{1-\varepsilon/3}$. It follows from (46) and (49) that

$$|b_{11}\omega + b_{01}|_p \ll p^{s\varepsilon/3} H^{-2+\varepsilon'} \ll H^{-2+\varepsilon'+\varepsilon/3} = H(R_1)^{-2-\varepsilon/(6-2\varepsilon)}.$$

Using Khintchine's theorem in \mathbb{Q}_p [Spr69, p. 94], we get that the set of ω belonging to infinitely many discs $B_1(P)$ has zero measure.

Let the disc $B_1(P)$ be essential. By the property of p -adic valuation every point $\omega \in K_0$ belong to no more than one essential disc. Hence

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(H, \tilde{l}, \bar{\alpha})} \mu B_1(P) \leq p^n.$$

It follows from (44) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_H \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(H, \tilde{l})} \mu B(P) &= \sum_H \sum_{\bar{\alpha}} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(H, \tilde{l}, \bar{\alpha})} \mu B(P) \ll \\ &\ll \sum_H H^{n-2} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(H, \tilde{l}, \bar{\alpha})} H^{-n+1-\varepsilon'} \mu B_1(P) \ll \sum_H H^{-1-\varepsilon'} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma completes the proof. \square

8. Proof of Proposition 4

First of all we impose some reasonable limitation on the disc K_0 that appear in the statement of Proposition 4. To this end we notice the following two facts.

Remark 1. Let $\omega_0, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. It is a simple matter to verify that if (Γ, N) is a regular system in a disc K_0 then $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{N})$ is regular in $\theta_0 K_0 + \omega_0$, where $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\delta_0 \gamma + \omega_0 : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, $\tilde{N}(\delta_0 \gamma + \omega_0) = N(\gamma)$ and $\theta_0 K_0 + \omega_0 = \{\theta_0 \omega + \omega_0 : \omega \in K_0\}$.

Remark 2. One more observation is that if $c > 0$ is a constant and (Γ, N) is a regular system in a disc K_0 then (Γ, cN) is also a regular system in K_0 .

The proofs are easy and left as exercises. Now we notice that for any disc K_0 in \mathbb{Q}_p we can choose two numbers $\omega_0, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\theta_0 \mathbb{Z}_p + \omega_0 = K_0$. It is clear that the map $\omega \mapsto \theta_0 \omega + \omega_0$ sends $\mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ to itself. Moreover, there is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p \cap \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ one has $H(\theta_0 \alpha + \omega_0) \leq c_1 H(\alpha)$. Hence, if we will succeed to prove Proposition 4 for the disc \mathbb{Z}_p then in view of the Remarks above it will be proved for K_0 . Thus without loss of generality we assume that $K_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$.

In the proof of Proposition 4 we will refer to the following statement known as Hensel's Lemma (see [BD99, p. 134]).

Lemma 8. Let P be a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_p , let $\xi = \xi_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $|P(\xi)|_p < |P'(\xi)|_p^2$. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the sequence

$$\xi_{n+1} = \xi_n - \frac{P(\xi_n)}{P'(\xi_n)}$$

tends to some root $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ of the polynomial P and

$$|\alpha - \xi|_p \leq \frac{|P(\xi)|_p}{|P'(\xi)|_p^2} < 1.$$

Proposition 8. *Let $\delta > 0$, $Q \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$. Given a disc $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$, let*

$$E(\delta, Q, K) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \deg P \leq n, H(P) \leq Q} \{\omega \in K : |P(\omega)|_p < \delta Q^{-n-1}\}. \quad (50)$$

Then there is a positive constant c such that for any finite disc $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ there is a sufficiently large number Q_0 such that $\mu(E(\delta, Q, K)) \leq c\delta\mu(K)$ for all $Q \geq Q_0$.

Proof. The set $E(\delta, Q, K)$ can be expressed as follows

$$E(\delta, Q, K) \subset E_1(\delta, Q, K, 1/3) \cup E_3(Q, K) \cup E_4(),$$

where $E_1(\delta, Q, K, 1/3)$ is introduced in Proposition 1,

$$E_3(Q, K) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \deg P \leq n, H(P) \geq \log Q} \chi(P),$$

$\chi(P)$ is the set of solutions of (5) lying in K with $\xi = 1/3$ and $C = \delta$,

$$E_4(Q, K) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \deg P \leq n, H(P) \leq \log Q} \{\omega \in K : |P(\omega)|_p < \delta Q^{-n-1}\}.$$

By Proposition 2,

$$\mu(E_3(Q, K)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } Q \rightarrow \infty. \quad (51)$$

By Proposition 1,

$$\mu(E_1(\delta, Q, K, 1/3)) \leq c_1\delta\mu(K) \text{ for sufficiently large } Q. \quad (52)$$

Now to estimate $\mu(E_4(Q, K))$ we first estimate the measure of $\{\omega \in K : |P(\omega)|_p < \delta Q^{-n-1}\}$ for a fixed P . If $\alpha_{\omega, P}$ is the nearest root to ω then $|a_n(\omega - \alpha_{\omega, P})^n|_p < Q^{-n-1}$. Since $|a_n|_p \geq Q^{-1}$, we get $|\omega - \alpha_{\omega, P}|_p < Q^{-1}$. It follows that

$$\mu\{\omega \in K : |P(\omega)|_p < \delta Q^{-n-1}\} \ll Q^{-1}.$$

Hence $\mu(\mu(E_4(Q, K))) \ll (\log Q)^{n+1}Q^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $Q \rightarrow \infty$. Combining this with (51) and (52) completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Fix any disc $K \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ and let $Q > 0$ be a sufficiently large number. Let $\omega \in K$. Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} |P(\omega)|_p < \delta^2 C Q^{-n-1}, & P(\omega) = a_n \omega^n + \cdots + a_1 \omega + a_0, \\ |a_j| \leq \delta^{-1} Q, & j = \overline{0, n}, \\ |a_j|_p \leq \delta, & j = \overline{2, n}. \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

By Dirichlet's principle, it easy to show that there is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\omega \in K$ the system (53) has a non zero solution $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Fix such a solution P .

If $|P'(\omega)| < \delta$, then, by (53),

$$|a_1|_p = |P'(\omega) - \sum_{k=2}^n k a_k \omega^{k-1}|_p \leq \max\{|P'(\omega)|_p, |2a_2 \omega^1|_p, \dots, |n a_n \omega^{n-1}|_p\} < \delta.$$

Also, if Q is sufficiently large, then

$$|a_0|_p = |P(\omega) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \omega^k|_p \leq \max\{|P(\omega)|_p, |a_1 \omega^1|_p, \dots, |a_n \omega^n|_p\} < \delta.$$

Therefore, the coefficients of P have a common multiple d with $\delta/p \leq |d|_p < \delta$. It follows that $d^{-1} \leq \delta$. Define $P_1 = P/d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Obviously $H(P_1) \leq Q$. Also, by (53),

$$|P_1(\omega)|_p = |P(\omega)|_p |d|_p^{-1} \leq |P(\omega)|_p \times \delta^{-1} p < \delta C p Q^{-n-1}.$$

This implies $\omega \in E(\delta C p, Q, K)$. By Proposition 8, $\mu(E(\delta C p, Q, K)) \leq c \delta C p \mu(K)$ for sufficiently large Q . Put $\delta = (2cpC)^{-1}$. Then $\mu(K \setminus E(\delta C p, Q, K)) \geq \frac{1}{2} \mu(K)$. If now we take $\omega \in K \setminus E(\delta C p, Q, K)$ then we get

$$|P'(\omega)|_p \geq \delta.$$

By Hensel's lemma there is a root $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ of P such that $|\omega - \alpha|_p < C Q^{-n-1}$. If Q is sufficiently large then $\alpha \in K$. The height of this α is $\leq \delta^{-1} Q$.

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ be the maximal collection of algebraic numbers in $K \cap \mathbb{A}_{p,n}$ satisfying $H(\alpha_j) \leq \delta^{-1} Q$ and

$$|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|_p \geq Q^{-n-1} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq t).$$

By the maximality of this collection, $|\omega - \alpha_j|_p < C Q^{-n-1}$ for some j . As ω is arbitrary point of $E(\delta C p, Q, K)$, we get

$$E(\delta C p, Q, K) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^t \{\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |\omega - \alpha_j|_p < C Q^{-n-1}\}.$$

Next,

$$\frac{1}{2} \mu(K) \leq \mu(E(\delta C p, Q, K)) \ll Q^{-n-1} t,$$

whence $t \gg Q^{n+1} \mu(K)$. Taking $T = \delta^{-n-1} Q^{n+1}$ one readily verifies the definition of regular systems. The proof is completed. \square

Acknowledgements. The research was supported by Belorussian Fond of Fundamental research (Project 00-249) and by INTAS (project 00-429).

REFERENCES

- [BBKM02] V.V. Beresnevich, V.I. Bernik, D.Y. Kleinbock, and G.A. Margulis, *Metric Diophantine approximation: The Khintchine–Groshev theorem for non-degenerate manifolds*, Moscow Mathematical Journal **2** (2002), no. 2, 203–225.
- [BD99] V.I. Bernik and M.M. Dodson, *Metric Diophantine approximation on manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 137, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [BDY99] V.I. Bernik, H. Dickinson, and J. Yuan, *Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation on polynomial curves in \mathbb{Q}_p* , Acta Arithm. **90** (1999), no. 1, 37–48.
- [Ber89] V.I. Bernik, *On the exact order of approximation of zero by values of integral polynomials*, Acta Arithmetica **53** (1989), 17–28, (In Russian).
- [Ber99] V.V. Beresnevich, *On approximation of real numbers by real algebraic numbers*, Acta Arithmetica **90** (1999), no. 2, 97–112.
- [Ber02] ———, *A Groshev type theorem for convergence on manifolds*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica **94** (2002), no. 1-2, 99–130.
- [BK03] V.V. Beresnevich and E.I. Kovalevskaya, *On Diophantine approximation of dependent quantities in p -adic case*, Mat. Zametki (2003), (In Russian). To appear.
- [BKM01] V.I. Bernik, D.Y. Kleinbock, and G.A. Margulis, *Khintchine-type theorems on manifolds: the convergence case for standard and multiplicative versions*, International Mathematics Research Notices (2001), no. 9, 453–486.

- [Cas86] J.W.S. Cassels, *Local fields*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [Lut55] E. Lutz, *Sur les approximations diophantiennes linéaires et p -adiques*, Hermann, 1955.
- [Spr69] V.G. Sprindžuk, *Mahler's problem in the metric theory of numbers*, vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969, Translations of Mathematical Monographs.
- [Spr79] ———, *Metric theory of Diophantine approximation*, John Wiley & Sons, New York-Toronto-London, 1979, (English transl.).

Address: Institute of Mathematics,
The National Belarus Academy of Sciences,
220072, Surganova 11, Minsk, Belarus

E-mails: vb8@york.ac.uk, *formerly* beresnevich@im.bas-net.by
bernik@im.bas-net.by
kovalevsk@im.bas-net.by